Attorney Docket No. 9400-39 Application No.: 10/669,399

Filed: September 23, 2003

Page 12 of 12

REMARKS

This response is submitted in reply to the Official Action dated March 29, 2007 ("the Action"). In the Action, the Examiner has required restriction for:

Group I. Claims 1-54, drawn to a method of password configuration....

Group II. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of managing [network services]

payment....

The Applicants hereby elect Claims 1-54 of Group I without traverse. In order to advance prosecution, the Applicants have canceled Claims 55-56 of Group II without prejudice to the Applicants' right to pursue Claims 55-56 in a future divisional/continuation application. The Applicants agree that the inventions of Groups I and II are distinct. Moreover, the present application is in condition for substantive examination action which is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone if such contact will expedite the examination and allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Scott C. Hatfield

Registration Nø, 38,176

Customer Number 20792

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P.O. Box 37428
Raleigh, NC 27627
919-854-1400
919-854-1401 (Fax)

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4) to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 19, 2007.

Signature